

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
-			,		
			EXA	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				11	
	40.5		DATE MAILED:		
	INI	ERVIEW SUMMARY			
All participants (applicant, applicant's	representative, PTO per	sonnel):			
11) WILLIAM JA	tckron	(3)			
(2) NETC (e	y	(4)			
Date of Interview // 2 /	F/102				
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant papplicant's representative).					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:					
Agreement was reached. Swas not reached.					
And I					
Claim(s) discussed: O (f except					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
			1/	7	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:					
Were sussed will a professed della will					
Overcome, it fill suffer is spent ce at ion.					
Re-rearch would then be Meaded on claims					
not herected by att.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable					
must be attached. Also, where no co attached.)	py of the amendments wi	nich would render the claims allowab	le is available, a sun	nmary thereof must be	
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.					
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office					
action has are ready been filed, APPI	DE THE SUBSTANCE OF LICANT IS GIVEN ONE M	THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sec MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DA	ction 713.04), If a res NTE TO FILE A STAT	ponse to the last Office EMENT OF THE	
SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	annana akana tanta	an any attachments) fleate a	oto roonomas ta see	h of the chiestians	
rejections and requirements that	at may be present in the I	ng any attachments) reflects a compleast Office action, and since the claim	s are now allowable	, this completed form	
the interview unless box 1 above		ast Office action. Applicant is not rel	igved itself providing	a separate record of	
Examiner Note: You must sign this for	rm unless it is an attachm	ent to another form.			

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1,133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be f./ed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alreged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in rotation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office of that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate hower and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Putent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the fike, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews in a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview, in the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information.

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview

It is desireable thinkthe examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be neted, however, that the Interview Summary Forgi witinot normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unlass it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complote and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- by an elementation of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless those are already described on the Interview Summary. Form consolited by the examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal or where some the his examine. The identification of inquireents need not be lengthy or elaborats. A verbiding or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may destruct emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he had were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- of a general indication of any other permission matters distributed in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examine it are expected to carefully review the applicant siles of the constance of an interview. If the renord is not complete in accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one stanth from the date of the polithying latter of the constance may period for response, whichever is songer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandorment of the application (37 CFR ± 135(c).)

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applied to the control of what the physical transplacement of the control of ables, the line is of eacy of any argument or state nent attributed to the power of a representation of the next Office tellor. If the control of the property of